

# Research Articles

Open Access

# Implementation of Balanced Nutrition Guidelines and Their Association with Children's Nutritional Status in Private and Public Elementary Schools of Pekalongan Regency

Mikhael Andya Sekar Putri<sup>1\*</sup>, Ali Khomsan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Human Ecology, IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Human Ecology, IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia

\*Corresponding Author: E-mail: khomsanali@apps.ipb.ac.id

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

# Manuscript Received: 02 Jun, 2025

Revised: 16 Oct, 2025 Accepted: 18 Oct, 2025 Date of Publication: 02 Nov, 2025

Volume: 8

**DOI:** 10.56338/mppki.v8i11.8508

#### **KEYWORDS**

Food Consumption; Nutritional Attitude; Nutritional Knowledge; Nutritional Status

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Double malnutrition has become a major nutritional concern among elementary school children, potentially impairing growth, development, and academic performance. This study aims to analyze the implementation of balanced nutrition and nutritional status in schoolage children.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional design was applied from November – December 2024 at SDIT Plus Mutiara Umat (private school) and SDN 1 Wiroditan (public school), Pekalongan Regency, Central Java, Indonesia. A total of 140 students (70 from each school) were selected through simple random sampling. Data collection included self-administered questionnaires, 24-hour food recall, Individual Dietary Diversity Score (IDDS), and anthropometric measurements. Statistical analyses were performed using the independent t-test for normally distributed data and the Mann–Whitney test for non-normal distributions.

**Results:** Most parents in private school have completed college education (71.6%) while in public school only completed high school education (48.6%). Most subjects in private school had lower pocket money than in public schoolObesity prevalence was higher in private schools (15.7%), while underweight was more frequent in public schools (8.6%). Most respondents exhibited moderate nutritional knowledge (private: 68.6%; public: 77.1%) and negative nutritional attitudes (private: 88.6%; public: 94.3%). Moderate dietary diversity was observed in both groups. Public school students showed significantly higher energy, fat, and carbohydrate intakes (p < 0.05). Significant differences were also found in pocket money, maternal education, nutritional status, and attitude (p < 0.05).

**Conclusion:** This study contributes provides information to the community regarding balanced nutrition and the nutritional status of school-aged children. The findings of this research can serve as a reference for schools in promoting the implementation of balanced nutrition and for government may be used as a source of literature in development of programs aimed at achieving normal nutritional status among children.

Publisher: Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu

#### INTRODUCTION

Elementary school children, aged 6–12 years, are in second critical growth phase, requiring adequate and balanced nutrition to support optimal development (1). However, nutritional problems among this age group remain high in Indonesia, particularly the double burden of malnutrition, which includes both underweight and overweight. In Indonesia, the prevalence of nutritional problems among children aged 5–12 years includes 3.5% classified as severely thin, 7.5% thin, 11.9% overweight, and 7.8% obese (2).

Nutritional problems can affect physical growth, cognitive development, immune function, and academic performance, ultimately affecting future human resource quality (3). Children have poor eating habits such as they prefer high intake of fat, sugar, and salt and low consumption of fiber raise the risk of non-communicable diseases such as obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and heart disease (4). Nutritional status is directly influenced by dietary intake and illness, and indirectly by food security, parenting, hygiene and healthcare (5).

Children at this age start to make their own food choices. Their preferences often based on appeal rather than nutritional value, and tend to consume unhealthy snacks available around schools (6). These snacks may lack hygiene and contribute to health issues (7). Additionally, children's low intake of fruits and vegetables far below the recommended 300–400g/day is a concern, with averages as low as 108.8g/day (8).

School environmental plays a crucial role in shaping balanced nutrition practices among elementary school children. Influencing factors are different in food availability and accessibility between public and private schools, which is largely determined by institutional policies, the presence of catering services, and the quality of supporting facilities. Study in Samarinda City, Indonesia, showed that in private schools often with full-day programs, offer catering and better hygiene facilities, while public schools have fewer restrictions and more limited infrastructure due to government funding (9).

Considering these issues, a comparative analysis of implementation of balanced nutrition and nutritional status between private and public school in Pekalongan Regency is needed. The two schools were selected purposively to enable a focused yet comparative analysis of balanced nutrition guidelines implementation across different institutional and socioeconomic contexts in Pekalongan Regency. The schools were chosen based on their similarity in school context and geographic proximity to control for environmental variation, thereby strengthening internal validity. This purposive selection allows examination of how management systems and resource availability may influence children's nutritional outcomes. While the limited number of schools may constrain external validity, the inclusion of both public and private institutions enhances representativeness across key educational categories. This study focuses on exploring the implementation of balanced nutrition and describes how balanced nutrition may influence children's nutritional status.

#### **METHOD**

# Research Type

A cross-sectional comparative design was employed. Sampling was involving 140 children aged 6-12 years in Pekalongan Regency. Data were collected from November to December 2024 and analyzed statically.

# Population and Sample/Informants

Subjects were selected using simple random sampling through the "RAND" function in Microsoft Excel. Each eligible student was assigned a unique ID, random numbers were generated, and samples were chosen based on sorted random values to ensure equal selection probability. The minimum required sample size was calculated using the Lemeshow *et al.* (1997) formula, resulting in 63 subjects for each group. Additional 10% was added to account for potential drop-outs, resulting total minimum sample was 69 subjects for each school. Inclusion criteria included being a student aged 6–12 years, enrolled in grade 4 or 5, in good health, able to read and write, willing to participate in this research.

## **Research Location**

This research was conducted in SDIT Plus Mutiara Umat (private school) and SDN 1 Wiroditan (public school) in Pekalongan Regency, Central Java, Indonesia.

#### **Instrumentation or Tools**

Data collection instruments consisted of questionnaires for knowledge, attitudes, and demographic information, food recall 1x24 hour, individual dietary diversity scores (IDDS), and anthropometric measurements. Nutritional knowledge and attitude were assessed using questionnaire by Khomsan (2018), with a Cronbach's alpha coefficient 0.614. The reability score of questionnaires for nutrition knowledge variable would be considered sufficient if the Cronbach's Alpha score showed the range between 0.6 to 0.7 (10).

#### **Data Collection Procedures**

Data collection was conducted from November to December 2024 in two elementary schools in private and public schools in Pekalongan Regency. Subjects were given a informed consent and instruction on how to complete the questionnaire

# **Data Analysis**

Univariate analysis was conducted to describe the frequency distribution, means, and standard deviations of key variables. Normality was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Bivariate analysis was used to identify differences between public and private school students. The independent t-test was used for normally distributed data, while the Mann-Whitney test was used for non-normally distributed variables. Statistical significance was determined at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , following standard practice in health and nutrition research (11).

# **Ethical Approval**

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of University of Muhammadiyah Surakarta (Approval Number: 642/KEPK-FIK/2XI/2024). All participants, including parents or guardians for participants under 18, provided informed consent before participating in the study. Confidentiality of all participants was strictly maintained throughout the research process.

# **RESULTS**

The household characteristics examined in this study was mother's education. Mother's education influences the mother's ability to access, understand, and apply nutritional information to support healthy feeding practices.

| <b>Table 1.</b> Distribution of subjects base | ed on mother's education |
|---|--------------------------|
|---|--------------------------|

| Catagoriu                 | Priva         | Public school |               |      |         |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------|---------|
| Category                  | n             | %             | n             | %    | p-value |
| Mother's education        |               |               |               |      |         |
| No schooling              | 2             | 2.9           | 3             | 4.3  |         |
| Elementary/equivalent     | 3             | 4.3           | 18            | 25.7 |         |
| Junior High/equivalent    | 1             | 1.4           | 8             | 11.4 |         |
| Senior High/equivalent    | 14            | 20.0          | 34            | 48.6 |         |
| University                | 50            | 71.5          | 7             | 10.0 |         |
| $Mean \pm SD$             | $7.1 \pm 1.3$ |               | $5.4 \pm 1.2$ |      |         |
| Median (25;75 percentile) | 8(6;8)        |               | 6(4;6)        |      | 0.000   |

Note: p-value based on Mann Whitney Test. Significant if p < 0.05

As shown in Table 1, the educational background of mothers differed notably between private and public elementary school. Most mothers in private school (71.5%) had completed university education, followed by 20.0% who had completed high school. In contrast, nearly half of mothers in public school (48.6%) had only completed high school and only 10.0% had completed university education. This indicated that mothers of children in private school generally had a higher level of education compared to those in public school. Statistical test indicated significant difference in mother's education between private and public elementary school (p < 0.05). Higher maternal education is often linked to better nutritional knowledge which may positively impact to children's dietary patterns and nutritional status.

Pocket money plays an important role in shaping children's eating habits, particularly during school hours when they have the autonomy to make their own food choices. Most subjects in private school (31.4%) had pocket money ranging from IDR5,000 - IDR10,000. While, in public school subjects (41.4%) had pocket money ranging from IDR10,000 - IDR15,000. This indicated that in private school subjects had lower pocket money than in public school. Statistical tests found significant differences pocket money between children in private and public schools (p < 0.05).

**Table 2.** Distribution of subject based on pocket money

| Catagory                  | Priv                 | ate school | Pub   | Public school |         |  |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------|---|---------------|---------|--|
| Category                  | n                    | %          | n   | %             | p-value |  |
| ≤ IDR5,000                | 18                   | 25.7       | 3   | 4.3           |         |  |
| IDR5,000 – IDR10,000      | 22                   | 31.4       | 27  | 38.6          | •       |  |
| IDR10,000 – IDR15,000     | 16                   | 22.9       | 29  | 41.4          | •       |  |
| IDR15,000 – IDR20,000     | 10                   | 14.3       | 11  | 15.7          | •       |  |
| > IDR 20,000              | 4                    | 5.7        | 0   | 0.0           |         |  |
| $Mean \pm SD$             | $8,557 \pm 8,503$    |            | $8,557 \pm 8,503$ $9,342 \pm 3,866$                                 |               |         |  |
| Median (25;75 percentile) | 5,000 (3,750;10,000) |            | edian (25;75 percentile) 5,000 (3,750;10,000) 10,000 (7,000;10,000) |               | 0.011   |  |

Note: p-value based on Mann-Whitney Test. Significant if p<0.05. \* 1 USD = IDR16,345

Nutritional status is a body's condition as determined by dietary intake and how the body utilize nutrients (12). Assessing and understanding the nutritional status of school-aged children can help identify potential nutritional problems early and guide appropriate interventions to support optimal health and development.

**Table 3.** Distribution of subjects based on nutritional status (BMI for age)

| Catagory                       | Priv              | Publi | Public school       |      |         |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------|---------------------|------|---------|
| Category                       | n                 | %     | n                   | %    | p-value |
| Severely underweight (< -3 SD) | 0                 | 0.0   | 0                   | 0.0  |         |
| Underweight (-3 SD to -2 SD)   | 2                 | 2.9   | 6                   | 8.6  |         |
| Normal (-2 SD to +1 SD)        | 51                | 72.9  | 55                  | 78.6 |         |
| Overweight (+1 SD to +2 SD)    | 6                 | 8.6   | 6                   | 8.6  |         |
| Obesity (> +2 SD)              | 11                | 15.7  | 3                   | 4.3  |         |
| $Mean \pm SD$                  | $0.17 \pm 1.56$   |       | $-0.50 \pm 1.27$    |      |         |
| Median (25;75 percentile)      | -0.25(-1.00;0.95) |       | -0.70(-1.50; -0.70) |      | 0.016   |

Note: p-value based on Mann Whitney test. Significant if p < 0.05

Based on result of this study (Table 3), it was observed obesity cases were more common in private school (15.7%), while underweight cases were more dominant in public school (8.6%). Statistical analysis indicated significant difference of children's nutritional status between private and public schools (p < 0.05).

Most subjects in both private (68.6%) and public (77.1%) schools had moderate level of nutritional knowledge. For nutritional attitude indicator, this study obtained that most subjects in both private (88.6%) and public (94.3%) schools had negative attitude of balanced nutrition. Statistical test showed there was no significant difference on nutritional knowledge between subjects in private and public school (p > 0.05). However, there was significant difference in nutritional attitude (p < 0.05).

Table 4. Distribution of subjects based on knowledge and attitude of balanced nutrition

| Vacadadas and attitude of belonged mutaition   | Pri | vate school | Pı |      |         |
|--|-----|-------------|----|------|---------|
| Knowledge and attitude of balanced nutrition — | n   | %           | n  | %    | p-value |
| Knowledge                                      |     |             |    |      |         |
| Low (< 60)                                     | 4   | 5.7         | 2  | 2.9  |         |
| Moderate (60 – 80)                             | 48  | 68.6        | 54 | 77.1 |         |
| High (> 80)                                    | 18  | 25.7        | 14 | 20.0 |         |

| Knowledge and attitude of balanced nutrition — | Private school  |        | Pı              | n nalna |         |
|--|-----------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---------|
|  | n               | %      | n               | %       | p-value |
| $Mean \pm SD$                                  | $76.4 \pm 11.0$ |        | $74.8 \pm 9.3$  |         |         |
| Median (25;75 percentile)                      | 80(70;85)       |        | 75(70;80)       |         | 0.131   |
| Attitude                                       |                 |        |                 |         |         |
| Positive                                       | 8               | 11.4   | 4               | 5.7     |         |
| Negative                                       | 62              | 88.6   | 66              | 94.3    |         |
| $Mean \pm SD$                                  | 59.8 ± 14.7     |        | $67.7 \pm 10.4$ |         |         |
| Median (25;75 percentile)                      | 60 (            | 53;67) | 67(             | 60;73)  | 0.000   |

Note: p-value based on Mann Whitney test. Significant if p < 0.05

Knowledge and attitudes toward balanced nutrition guidelines were assessed using a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was designed to evaluate subject understanding of key concepts related to ten messages of balanced nutrition guidelines included be grateful and enjoy a variety of foods, consume plenty of vegetables and sufficient fruits, regularly consume protein-rich side dishes, diversify staple food intake, limit consumption of sweet, salty, and fatty foods, a habitual breakfast, drink enough and safe water, reading food labels, wash hands with soap and clean running water, regular physical activity to maintain a normal body weight (13). In addition, it measured attitudes by exploring participants' beliefs, motivations, and perceptions regarding healthy eating habits.

Children's energy intake in public school (2.151 kcal) was higher than in private school (1.846 kcal). Adequacy levels of energy, protein, fat, and carbohydrates in both groups were considered sufficient. Adequacy levels are categorized as severely deficient (<70%), mild deficient (<70%), moderate deficit (<70%), adequate (<70%), excessive (<120%) (14). Statistical analysis indicated significant difference in energy, fat, and carbohydrate intakes between children in private and public schools (p <0.05), but there was no significant difference in protein intake (p <0.05).

Table 5 Distribution of subjects based on food intake and adequacy level

| Food intake and adequacy level | Private school   | Public school     | p-value |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Energy                         |                  |                   |         |
| Food intake $\pm$ SD (kcal)    | $1846 \pm 406$   | $2151 \pm 596$    | 0.000   |
| Adequacy level $\pm$ SD (%)    | $98.3 \pm 23.6$  | $114.8 \pm 31.5$  |         |
| Protein                        |                  |                   |         |
| Food intake $\pm$ SD (g)       | $49.5 \pm 11.4$  | $52.3 \pm 17.5$   | 0.365   |
| Adequacy level $\pm$ SD (%)    | $101.2 \pm 27.4$ | $105.8 \pm 38.3$  |         |
| Fat                            |                  |                   |         |
| Food intake $\pm$ SD (g)       | $56.0 \pm 20.9$  | $63.4 \pm 33.8$   | 0.003   |
| Adequacy level $\pm$ SD (%)    | $90.1 \pm 37.7$  | $101.7 \pm 57.2$  |         |
| Carbohydrate                   |                  |                   |         |
| Food intake $\pm$ SD (g)       | $268.2 \pm 66.4$ | $332.6 \pm 106.7$ | 0.000   |
| Adequacy level ± SD (%)        | $98.6 \pm 27.8$  | $112.5 \pm 39.4$  |         |
|                                | 1 1 1 1          | XX 71 1           | 1.0     |

Note: p-value based on independent t-test for energy and carbohydrate intake, Mann Whitney test for protein and fat intake. Significant if p < 0.05

Consuming a diverse food is necessary to fulfill nutritional needs and supporting optimal growth and development among children (15). Most subjects in both private (56%) and public (60%) schools had moderate level of food diversity. Statistical analysis found no significant difference of food diversity between children in private and public school (p > 0.05).

**Table 6.** Distribution based on food diversity

| Category                  | Pri  | Private school |        | Public school |         |
|---------------------------|------|----------------|--------|---------------|---------|
|                           | n    | %              | n      | %             | p-value |
| Low (≤ 3)                 | 21   | 30             | 25     | 36            |         |
| Moderate (4 - 5)          | 39   | 56             | 42     | 60            |         |
| High ( $\geq$ 6)          | 10   | 14             | 3      | 4             |         |
| $Mean \pm SD$             | 4,10 | ± 1,21         | 3,93 ± | 1,07          |         |
| Median (25,75 percentile) | 4 (  | 3;5)           | 4 (3   | ;5)           | 0.481   |

Note: p-value based on Mann Whitney test. Significant if p < 0.05

#### **DISCUSSION**

# **Interpretation of Key Findings**

Mother's education can influence knowledge and understanding of nutritional information. Mother with higher level of education tend to have better nutritional knowledge. Good knowledge supports mother's ability to access, understand, and apply information related to nutrition (16). Mother with higher education level is more aware of the importance of a balanced nutrition diet, as well as the impact of both nutrient deficiencies and excesses on children's growth and development (17). They are also more critical in choosing food, tend to limit low-nutrient food and more frequently provide healthy homemade meals (18).

The amount of pocket money influences children's purchasing power. The higher amount of pocket money can lead to increase purchasing ability, which can encourage a frequent consumption of snacks and beverages that are visually attractive but low in nutritional value (19). In this study, based on interview with private school's headmaster there was school policies that prohibit student to bringing money at school. However, some students still bring a small amount of pocket money. Consequently, pocket money of children in private school was lower than in public school. This is in line with previous study, which found no significant relationship between parental income and the amount of pocket money given (9). The amount of pocket money doesn't always reflect parental income. A high amount of pocket money may also result from parents' lack of nutritional knowledge and habit of skipping breakfast, leading them to give children more money to replace breakfast, even under economic constraints.

Obesity occurs when energy intake exceeds energy expenditure, often due to high consumption of calorie-dense foods and lack of physical activity (20). This study found that children in private school, generally from high or moderate economic status families, are more likely to experience obesity than children in public school. Parental occupation reflects family economic status, with private school parents typically earning more (21). This is in line with previous study, which found significant relationship between parental income and nutritional status of children (22,23). This may be due to students in private schools have more access to high calorie foods, limited parental supervision due to work commitments, and reliance on fast food (24). Previous study has found that children from higher socioeconomic background tend to consume more high calorie foods and sugar-sweetened beverages, contributing to higher calorie intake and body weight (25). In contrast, underweight remains more prevalent among students in public schools, likely reflecting limited food availability and lower dietary diversity linked to economic constraints (26). Additionally, higher socioeconomic status is associated with sedentary behavior, as families can provide devices and conveniences that reduce physical activity (27).

Underweight was more found among children in public school compared to private school. Parents in public school generally have lower income than those in private school. Parental income influences a family's ability to purchase food that meets the nutritional needs of its members (28). Low economic status families often face inadequate food availability, resulting in insufficient dietary intake to meet the nutritional requirements of each family member (29).

Nutritional knowledge refers to individual understanding of food and composition, food safety, and proper methods of food preparation. Adequate nutritional knowledge contributes to the development of positive attitudes toward nutrition (30). Most subjects in both of group had a moderate level of knowledge. This is in line with the previous study, which founded that most elementary school students had a moderate level of nutritional knowledge (54.2%) (31). A positive attitude toward balanced nutrition encourages healthy practices, such as selecting safe and nutritious foods to support optimal growth and development in children. However, in this study, most subjects in both

groups had negative nutritional attitudes. This is in line with previous study, which stated that the most of elementary school children (77%) had negative attitudes toward nutrition (32). These attitudes are mostly caused by a lack of understanding about balanced nutrition, making it difficult for children to choose the right foods for their nutritional needs (33). According to the social ecological model (SEM) emphasizes that nutrition behaviors are shaped not only by individual influence but also by interpersonal, community, organization, and policy influences (34). Elementary school-aged children are typically in the concrete operational phase of cognitive development, which they are not yet fully capable of relating abstract concepts such as the long-term impact of present food decisions (35). Furthermore, based on Bandura's social cognitive theory (SCT), children's attitudes and behaviors are also influenced by observational learning and environmental factors (36).

This study found intakes of carbohydrates, animal protein, and plant-based protein met the recommended portions based on 'My Plate' guidelines of Indonesia balanced nutrition guidelines. However, vegetable and fruit consumption remained below the recommended levels. The recommendation intake of fruits and vegetables is 400 g per day, consisting of 250 g of vegetables and 150 g of fruits (12). Low vegetable intake is attributed to elementary school children's preference for sweet and savory flavors, and their general dislike for the bitter taste commonly associated with vegetables, which leads to low acceptance and intake (37). Inadequate fruit consumption among children is often due to a lack of parental introduction to affordable and locally available fruits (38). Therefore, nutrition education is essential to raise children's awareness of the importance of fruit and vegetable consumption in meeting nutrient requirements and preventing disease.

Based on a food recall 1x24 hour, children in public school consumed more snacks and packaged beverages compared to those in private school. This difference is caused by private school policies that prohibit food seller on school area and provide a catering system offering nutritious meals and snacks. In contrast, the food environment surrounding public schools is often less regulated, with easy access to low-nutrient, energy-dense foods such as fried snacks, sweetened drinks, and processed products. School canteen regulation and healthy food policies play a crucial role in shaping children's dietary behaviors. Schools with established nutrition policies and controlled food environments tend to provide healthier options and promote better eating habits (39). This is in line with previous study, which stated that 72.5% of elementary students frequently consume junk food that often contain high amount of salt, sugar, and fat (40). High consumption of packaged beverages, a major source of simple carbohydrates from sugar (41). It causes higher intake of energy in public school.

Diverse food consumption reflects to high dietary quality, as it provides essential nutrients which required by the body to meet daily nutritional needs and prevent nutrition related health issues (42). In contrast, limited dietary diversity indicates poor food quality, which may lead to nutrient deficiencies and negatively affect children's growth and development (43). The body requires a variety of nutrients that work synergistically in metabolic processes and no single food can fulfill all nutrient requirements, thereby necessitating a varied diet (44). Therefore, promoting diverse food intake in accordance with the Balanced Nutrition Guidelines is essential to support optimal growth in children.

# **Comparison with Previous Studies**

The findings of this study are consistent with those of Rahayu and Anggraini (2018), which found that no significant relationship between parental income and the amount of pocket money given (9). This finding also in line with previous study by Yasirah *et al.* (2024), which found significant relationship between parental income and nutritional status of children (22). In addition, this study is in line with the previous study, which founded that most elementary school students had a moderate level of nutritional knowledge (54.2%). Another study also revealed this study is in line with previous study, which stated that the most of elementary school children (77%) had negative attitudes toward nutrition (28). Furthermore, a previous study found that 72.5% of elementary students frequently consume junk food that often contain high amount of salt, sugar, and fat (34). These differences highlight the complexity of factors influencing children's nutrition and emphasize the need for further research to reconcile conflicting evidence.

# **Limitations and Cautions**

This study has several limitations. First, the food recall 1x24 hours (weekday) method, which may not adequately represent the children's eating habits. The use of recall 1x24 hours can cause recall bias and intra individual variation. Recall bias arises when respondents inaccurately recall or report their food consumption due to memory limitations or social desirability tendencies, potentially resulting in under- or overestimation of energy and nutrient intake (45). Moreover, dietary patterns may fluctuate between weekdays and weekends, as well as across different days, due to variations in school schedules, family eating habits, and social activities (46). Therefore, a single-day recall may not fully represent habitual dietary intake, potentially limiting the precision of nutrient estimates. To enhance accuracy, multiple non-consecutive recalls covering both weekdays and weekends are generally recommended in dietary assessment studies. Second, the pocket money variable was influenced by external factors (private school policies), so it may not accurately represent the family's economic status and well-being. Third, the cross-sectional research design only identifies correlations and cannot demonstrate causal relationships. Fourth, the limited references regarding differences in the implementation of balanced nutrition between private and public elementary school students posed challenges in analyzing the study results. Fifth, variables such as parental income, physical activity, as well as mothers' nutrition-related knowledge, attitudes, and practices were not examined, even though they may be factors influencing children's nutritional status.

#### **Recommendations for Future Research**

Future studies should focus on addressing the identified limitations. Future researchers are advised to use the weekday 2×24-hour food recall method to better capture children's dietary patterns. In addition, study on fat, sugar, and salt consumption among school children is necessary to prevent non communicable disease in the future. Moreover, future studies should include additional variables such as parental income, children's physical activity, and mothers' nutrition-related knowledge, attitudes, and practices, as these may significantly influence the nutritional outcomes of school-aged children.

# **CONCLUSION**

This study aimed to analyzed the implementation of balanced nutrition and children's nutritional status in private and public schools. The findings revealed that mother with higher education tend to have better nutritional knowledge and provide healthier food. Students in private school are more vulnerable to obesity due to have more access to high calorie foods, while underweight is more common among public school due to low food availibity. Although average food intake meets dietary recommendations, but fruit and vegetable consumption remain inadequate. Poor nutritional attitudes and frequent consumption of snacks high in sugar, salt, and fat reflect a lack of understanding of balanced nutrition. Notably, this study highlights context-specific findings regarding differences in the application of balanced nutrition between public and private elementary schools. These results underscore the importance of considering school-related factors such as policies, food environment, and economic background in shaping children's nutritional status. These findings emphasize the need for sensitive interventions that integrate nutrition education into school curriculum, strengthen healthy canteen regulations, and restrict the availability of high-calorie snacks and beverages around schools. Translationally, enhancing school meal programs offers a strategic opportunity to promote healthy eating behaviors, reduce disparities in child nutrition, and contribute to broader community health promotion.

While this study provides valuable insights into the implementation of balanced nutrition guidelines in elementary school children, certain limitations should be noted, such as food recal 1x24 hour and cross-sectional study. Future research should focus on using food recall 2x24 hour methods and longitudinal approaches to better capture children's eating habits and establish causal relationships. Such efforts would potentially enhance our understanding of the differences in nutritional status between public and private elementary school students and inform school-based nutrition policies, targeted interventions, and broader theoretical frameworks in child nutrition.

#### AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Mikhael Andya was responsible for conceptualization, methodology, data collection, data curation, formal analysis, and writing original draft preparation. While Ali Khomsan was responsible for supervision, validation,

writing review and editing, and project administration. Both authors contributed to the interpretation of the results, critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, approved the final version, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in accordance with ICMJE authorship criteria.

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this research.

# DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI AND AI-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

The authors declare that ChatGPT was employed exclusively for linguistic refinement, with no influence on data analysis or interpretation.

#### SOURCE OF FUNDING STATEMENTS

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The study was conducted independently, without financial support, and the funding agency had no involvement in the design, execution, analysis, interpretation, or manuscript preparation. Indirect institutional support, including access to facilities, logistical assistance, and academic supervision, was provided by the Department of Community Nutrition, IPB University.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to extend their heartfelt thanks to the Department of Community Nutrition, Faculty of Human Ecology, IPB University for their support throughout the study, including methodological supervision, access to research facilities, and logistical facilitation during data collection. The institutional assistance and academic guidance were essential in ensuring the methodological rigor and completion of this study.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 1. Norris SA, Frongillo EA, Black MM, Dong Y, Fall C, Lampl M, Patton GC. 2022. Nutrition in adolescent growth and development. The Lancet. 399(10320): 172-184. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01590-7.
- 2. [Kemenkes] Kementerian Kesehatan RI. 2023. Survei Kesehatan Indonesia. Jakarta (ID): Kemenkes RI.
- 3. Mantzorou M, Vadikolias K, Pavlidou E, Serdari A, Vasios G, Tryfono C, Giaginis C. 2020. Nutritional status is associated with the degree of cognitive impairment and depressive symptoms in a Greek elderly population. Nutritional Neuroscience. 23(3): 201-209. doi:10.1017/S1368980021003888.
- 4. Ruthsatz M, Candeias V. 2020. Non-communicable disease prevention, nutrition and aging. Acta Bio Medica: Atenei Parmensis. 91(2): 379. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i2.9721.
- 5. Mkhize M, Sibanda M. 2020. A review of selected studies on the factors associated with the nutrition status of children under the age of five years in South Africa. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 17(21): 7973. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17217973.
- 6. Rosenkranz RR, Matalia R, Natalie U, Sara R, David AD. Behaviorally oriented nutrition education at a russian summer camp improves children's dietary choice: a quasi-experimental study. Nutrire. 42(18):1-8. doi: 10.1186/s41110-017-0044-z.
- 7. Anggiruling DO. 2019. Perilaku Gizi dan Keamanan Pangan, Kebiasaan Jajan serta Analisis Faktor Pemilihan Jajanan Anak Sekolah Dasar. [Master's Thesis]. Bogor: Bogor Agricultural University.
- 8. [WHO] World Health Organization. 2024. School policy framework: implementation of the WHO global strategy on diet, physical activity and health. Geneva: WHO.
- 9. Rahayu EP, Anggraini RD. 2018. Tantangan dalam pengelolaan regulasi penjaja makanan di wilayah sekitar lingkungan sekolah di Kota Samarinda. Berita Kedokteran Masyarakat. 34(11): 5-7. doi: 10.22146/bkm.40457.
- 10. Hair JF, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE. 2019. Multivariate data analysis. United Kingdom: Cengage Learning.
- 11. Field A. 2018. Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics. United Kingdom: Sage Publications.

- 12. [WHO] World Health Organization. 2023. Increasing fruit and vegetable consumption to reduce the risk of noncommunicable diseases. Geneva: WHO.
- 13. [Kemenkes] Kementerian Kesehatan RI. 2014. Pedoman Gizi Seimbang. Jakarta (ID): Kemenkes RI
- 14. [WPNG] Widyakarya Nasional Pangan dan Gizi. 2012. Pemantapan Ketahanan Pangan dan Perbaikan Gizi Berbasis Kemandirian dan Kearifan Lokal. Jakarta (ID): Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia.
- 15. Heidari-Beni M, Hemati Z, Qorbani M. 2022. The dietary diversity score: methods, indicators, and applications to general population. Biomarkers in Nutrition. 1: 293-306. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-07389-2 17.
- 16. Chen S, Richardson S, Kong Y, Ma N, Zhao A, Song Y, Li Z. 2023. Association between parental education and simultaneous malnutrition among parents and children in 45 low-and middle-income countries. JAMA Network Open. 6(1): e2251727-e2251727. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.51727.
- 17. Mauludyani AVR, Khomsan A, Riyadi H, Nurhidayati VA, Fatchiya A. Determinants of children's nutritional status in rural and urban areas of West Java, Indonesia. Nutrición Clínica y Dietética Hospitalaria. 45(2):20–26. doi: 10.12873/452ali-khomsan.
- 18. Prasetyo YB, Permatasari P, Susanti HD. 2023. The effect of mothers' nutritional education and knowledge on children's nutritional status: a systematic review. International Journal of Child Care and Education Policy. 17(1): 11. doi: 10.1108/IJSE-06-2023-0483.
- 19. Damian SL, Nur ML, Toy SM. 2025. Pengaruh pola makan sarapan pagi dan uang jajan terhadap memory short term anak di SDI Busalangga. SEHATMAS: Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan Masyarakat. 4(1): 104-113. doi: 10.55123/sehatmas.v4i1.4554.
- 20. Siti Khopipah AR, Kilat, Budiman, Eka PH. (2024). Connection habit snacks and consumption food with the nutritional status of children at Elementary School Inpres 08 Mamboro. Media Publikasi Promosi Kesehatan Indonesia (MPPKI). 7(8): 2228-2234. doi: 10.56338/mppki.v7i8.5978.
- 21. Anggraini NV, Hutahaean S. 2022. Pendidikan orang tua dan obesitas anak usia sekolah. Jurnal Penelitian Kesehatan. 13(4): 1023-1027. doi: 10.33846/sf13425.
- 22. Yasirah T, Maria AD, Dalimunthe SA, Wijaya JF. 2024. Hubungan status sosioekonomi terhadap status gizi anak di SD Negeri 060838 Kota Medan. Syntax Idea. 6(10): 6285-6291. doi: 10.46799/syntaxidea.v7i9.
- 23. Nugraha F, Relaksana R, Siregar AY. 2021. Determinan sosial ekonomi terhadap berat badan lebih dan obesitas di Indonesia: analisis data IFLS 2014. Jurnal Ekonomi Kesehatan Indonesia. 5(2): 2. doi: 10.7454/eki.v5i2.4124
- 24. Karkhi A, Shrestha A, Subedi N. 2019. Prevalence and associated factors of childhood overweight/obesity among primary school children in urban Nepal. BMC Public Health. 19: 1-12. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-7406-9.
- 25. Andretti B, Cardoso LO, Honorio OS, de Castro Junior PCP, Tavares LF, da Costa Gaspar da Silva I, Mendes LL. 2023. Ecological study of the association between socioeconomic inequality and food deserts and swamps around schools in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. BMC Public Health. 23(1): 120. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-14990-8.
- 26. Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO]. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023: Urbanization, agrifood systems transformation, and healthy diets across the rural–urban continuum. Rome: FAO.
- 27. Ashar AM, Yusuf RA. 2025. Hubungan perilaku gizi seimbang, aktifitas fisik, dan perilaku sedentari dengan status gizi pada remaja. Window of Public Health Journal. 6(2): 342-353. doi: 10.33096/woph.v6i2.2146.
- 28. Manurung J, Prisca D, Suraya R, Dzakhirah J, Rahayu S, Pinem TTA. 2024. Gambaran status gizi pada anak sekolah dasar di SDN 101826 Tuntungan tahun 2024. Journal of Health and Religion. 1(3): 96–104. doi: 10.70489/jhr.
- 29. Maryam H, Isnanto I, Mahirawatie IC. 2021. Determinan status gizi pada status kesehatan gigi anak usia sekolah: systematic literature review. Journal Of Dental Hygiene and Therapy. 2(2): 62–71. doi: 10.36082/Jdht.V2i2.336.
- 30. Khomsan A. 2021. Teknik Pengukuran Pengetahuan Gizi. Bogor (ID): IPB Press.
- 31. Prasetya G, Khomsan A. 2019. Study of food habits and knowledge-attitude-practice on indonesian dietary guidelines and its impact on nutritional status of schoolchildren in Cianjur, Indonesia [Laporan Penelitian in 282]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.

- 32. Nurfitriani J, Kurniasari R. 2023. Edukasi gizi menggunakan media video animasi dan poster terhadap peningkatan pengetahuan gizi seimbang pada remaja. Media Publikasi Promosi Kesehatan Indonesia (MPPKI), 6(3): 503-506. doi: 10.56338/mppki.v6i3.2929.
- 33. Nugraheni Y, Hadi H. 2021. Pengaruh edukasi gizi terhadap pengetahuan dan sikap konsumsi pada anak usia sekolah. Jurnal Gizi dan Pangan. 16(2): 85–94.
- 34. Bronfenbrenner U. 2000. Ecological systems theory. 3(1): 129–133. England: Oxford University Press.
- 35. Piaget J. 2003. Part I: Cognitive development in children--piaget development and learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 40.
- 36. Bandura A. 2001. Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. Annual Review of Psychology. 52(1): 1-26.
- 37. Fadilah N, Sefrina LR. 2022. Hubungan pola makan, asupan kebisaan makan, dan aktifitas fisik terhadap kejadian obesitas pada anak sekolah dasar: literature review. Jurnal Ilmiah Penelitian Kesehatan. 7(3): 200-210. doi: 10.30829/jumantik.v7i3.11500.
- 38. Rasmi DAC, Sedijani P. 2022. Penyuluhan tentang pentingnya konsumsi buah untuk menjaga imunitas tubuh. Jurnal Pengabdian Magister Pendidikan IPA. 5(4): 6-11. doi: 10.29303/jpmpi.v5i4.2222.
- 39. World Health Organization [WHO]. 2016. Report of the commission on ending childhood obesity. Geneva: WHO.
- 40. Qurrotul'Aini ND, Maharani AA, Maharani TD, Nurannisa NS, Herbawani CK. 2023. risiko obesitas pada anak akibat konsumsi fast food dan junk food: literature review. Muhammadiyah Journal of Nutrition and Food Science (MJNF). 4(2): 110-119. doi: 10.24853/mjnf.4.2.110-119.
- 41. Sylvetsky AC, Visek AJ, Halberg S, Rhee DK, Ongaro Z, Essel KD, Dietz WH, Sacheck J. 2020. Beyond taste and easy access: Physical, cognitive, interpersonal, and emotional reasons for sugary drink consumption among children and adolescents. Appetite. 155(104826). doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2020. 104826
- 42. Firmansyah H, Purba EM, Purba R, Rosmiati R. 2024. Household food insecurity and its association with nutritional status of under five children in indonesia. Media Publikasi Promosi Kesehatan Indonesia (MPPKI), 7(10): 2546-2552. doi: 10.56338/mppki.v7i10.6197.
- 43. Sihite NW, Tanziha I. 2021. Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi ketahanan pangan rumah tangga di Kota Medan. AcTion: Aceh Nutrition Journal. 6(1): 15. doi: 10.30867/action.v6i1.395.
- 44. Al Uluf U, Sinatrya AK, Nadhiroh SR. 2023. Literature review: the relationship between food diversity and stunting in toddlers. (Bahasa: Tinjauan literatur: hubungan antara keragaman pangan dengan stunting pada balita). Amerta Nutrition. 7: 147–53. doi: 10.20473/amnt.v7i1.2023.147-153.
- 45. Thompson FE, Subar AF. 2017. Dietary assessment methodology. London: Academic Press.
- 46. Kobayashi S, Asakura K, Suga H, Sasaki S. 2019. High intra-individual variation in energy and nutrient intake estimated by 24-hour dietary recalls among Japanese children and adolescents. Nutrients. 11(6): 1421. doi: 10.3390/nu11061421.