International Journal of Health, Economics, and Social Sciences (IJHESS) Vol. 6, No. 3, July 2024, pp. 726~733 DOI: 10.56338/ijhess.v6i3.5620 Website: https://jurnal.unismuhpalu.ac.id/index.php/IJHESS

OPEN CACCESS JOURNALS

The Impact of Entrepreneurial Orientation and Innovation Strategy on MSME Performance in Bali's Apparel Sector

Hifzhan Frima Thousani1*, Mochammad Heri Edy2

¹Politeknik Negeri Madiun Indonesia, thousani@pnm.ac.id

²Politeknik Kelautan Perikanan Sidoarjo Indonesia, mochammad.edy@kkp.go.id

Article Info

Article history:

ABSTRACT

Received 3 June, 2024 Revised 23 June, 2024 Accepted 9 July, 2024

Keywords:

Entrepreneurial Orientatio; Innovation Strategy; Business Performance; Bali This study aims to explore the influence of entrepreneurial orientation on the performance of micro, small, and medium enterprise in the apparel industry in Bali, with innovation strategy as an intervening variable and technological resources as a moderating variable. Using the Resource-Based View (RBV) and Contingency Theory approaches, this study involved 220 randomly selected participants. Data analysis was performed using Structural Equation Modeling-Partial Least Square (SEM-PLS). The results show that entrepreneurial orientation has a significant positive impact on business performance through innovation strategy. Additionally, technological resources strengthen the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and business performance. These findings highlight the importance of entrepreneurial orientation, innovation strategy, and technological support in enhancing MSME performance in the apparel sector. This research contributes to the literature by providing insights into how the combination of entrepreneurial orientation and technology can be optimized to achieve competitive advantage in the context of micro, small, and medium enterprise in Bali.

*Corresponding Author:

Hifzhan Frima Thousani Politeknik Negeri Madiun Indonesia Email: <u>thousani@pnm.ac.id</u>

INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurship holds the potential to cultivate individuals into entrepreneurs within the realm of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs), presenting a promising solution to address the issue of educated unemployment (1). Among the sectors within MSMEs, the apparel industry is anticipated to offer significant employment opportunities. MSMEs operating in the apparel sector play a vital role in fostering economic advancement in Indonesia. However, in Bali, the progress of MSMEs faces challenges such as a scarcity of entrepreneurship-focused initiatives and restricted availability of technology and information. The diminished performance of MSMEs in Bali was further exacerbated by the underutilization of local indigenous knowledge potential (2).

The fluctuating growth trajectory of MSMEs indicates subpar performance, underscoring the significance of effective management in enhancing the economy and curbing unemployment through entrepreneurship-focused initiatives. Entrepreneurial orientation (EO) encompasses the capacity to think and act with creativity and innovation, serving as a foundation and asset for identifying avenues towards success (3). Business owners, including those in MSMEs, must embody entrepreneurial spirit to thrive in competitive markets. Implementing creative and innovative strategies will enable MSMEs to develop products—both goods and services—that meet customer expectations. Entrepreneurial orientation fosters resilient MSME

726

managers, empowering them to embrace risks and transform uncertainties into opportunities (4-6)

Entrepreneurial orientation is found to have a notable direct influence on firm performance, including within MSMEs, as indicated by various studies (4,5,7–9). However, there are instances where the impact of entrepreneurial orientation on company performance is not consistently significant (10,11). In the hospitality sector, entrepreneurial orientation may not effectively support company growth (9). Furthermore, the combination of entrepreneurial orientation with affective trust may not significantly enhance or diminish the relationship between business network reach and firm performance, despite some studies emphasizing the role of learning orientation (5). It is suggested that entrepreneurial orientation alone may not directly enhance firm performance unless complemented by other factors such as networking (9).

In a highly unpredictable setting, innovation strategy serves as the cornerstone for gaining a competitive edge. The implementation of innovative strategies plays a pivotal role in driving a nation's economic advancement. A strong capacity for innovation directly correlates with competitiveness. The value derived from innovation often manifests in novel approaches or the development of new products and processes, which contribute to value generation. Extensive research on innovation underscores the necessity for enterprises to adeptly navigate environmental changes to remain competitive. The impact of entrepreneurial orientation on innovation performance can vary significantly across different contexts (12). Alongside innovation strategies, adequate technological support serves as a crucial factor influencing organizational performance (13). Technological resources within business organizations encompass the utilization of information technology to achieve specific objectives (14). There exists a close relationship between technological resources and entrepreneurship.

A thriving enterprise necessitates proficiency in corporate, business, and functional planning and strategy, thorough financial forecasts, resource allocation, and access to information technology (IT) resources and capabilities. IT capabilities encompass technical expertise, knowledge, and infrastructure utilized to support operational processes. The integration of technology significantly impacts business performance (15,16), with technology playing a crucial role in bolstering MSMEs' performance on an international scale (17). Given the aforementioned context, there is merit in exploring apparel MSMEs, particularly in enhancing their business performance. To this end, a model has been devised, incorporating innovation strategy as an intervening variable to bridge the impact of entrepreneurial orientation on the performance of apparel industry MSMEs. Additionally, the variable of technological resources is introduced as a moderating factor anticipated to reinforce the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and the business performance of apparel industry MSMEs.

This research adopts the Resource-Based View (RBV) as its primary theoretical framework, supplemented by the Contingency Theory. RBV posits that a company's resources and capabilities are fundamental as they constitute the core of its competitiveness and performance. According to RBV, a company can gain a competitive edge by effectively managing its resources, thereby turning resource management into a source of competitive advantage (18). On the other hand, Contingency Theory, an organizational theory, suggests that there is no universally optimal way to manage a company. Instead, the most suitable policies or decisions depend on both internal and external circumstances (19). These theories are pertinent to the variables examined in the study.

THEORY DEVELOPMENT

The Resource-Based View (RBV) theory, pioneered by Jay B. Barney in 1991, posits that a company's resources and capabilities are central to its competitiveness and performance. According to RBV, managing these resources and capabilities effectively is key to gaining a competitive advantage over other firms (18). Knowledge-Based View (KBV), an extension of RBV, emphasizes the importance of various forms of knowledge as valuable resources within the organization (20). Contingency Theory, originally formulated by Lawrence and Lorsch in 1967, asserts that there is no universally optimal way to manage a company. Instead, the most effective management decisions depend on both internal and external circumstances (21). The research model is built upon insights from RBV and Contingency Theory. The developed research model is presented in Figure. 1.



Figure 1. Research Model

Information: EO=Entrepreneurship Orientation; IS=Innovation Strategy; TR=Technology Resource; BP=Business Performance

H1: Entrepreneurial orientation has a substantial positive influence on business performance.

Within entrepreneurial orientation, the capacity for innovation, risk-taking, and proactive behavior are pivotal factors affecting company performance (5,6,14,22,23). However, it's noted that entrepreneurial orientation may not consistently lead to a positive impact on enhancing business performance (9-11).

H2: Entrepreneurial orientation significantly contributes to an innovation strategy.

A stronger entrepreneurial orientation within a company is likely to lead to the adoption of policies or decisions that align with entrepreneurial principles to enhance overall company performance (12,13,24). Previous studies have consistently found a positive relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and innovation strategies (8,12). However, it's noted that the impact of entrepreneurial orientation on innovation strategy may not be maximized, and innovation strategy itself may not significantly impact business performance (6). Although entrepreneurial orientation has a significant effect on innovation strategy, this effect is relatively modest (23).

H3: Innovation strategy exerts a considerable and favorable impact on the performance of a business.

The effectiveness of a company's business performance is greatly influenced by its innovation strategy, as noted by various researchers (12,13,24–26). A superior innovation strategy is associated with enhanced company performance across financial and other domains (27). However, not all innovation strategies equally contribute to business performance achievement (14). For instance, certain corporate innovation strategies like cost strategies do not significantly impact company performance (28). H4: *Technology resources are expected to result in a notable enhancement of business performance*

The progress of information technology significantly impacts the business performance of the company (14,15,17,18). Approximately one-third of the total investment is allocated towards augmenting its information technology assets (29). However, according to research findings, not all technological resources have a substantial influence on the company's business performance (30).

H5: Entrepreneurial orientation positively influences business performance via its impact on innovation strategy

The influence of entrepreneurial orientation and innovation strategy on business performance is substantial (12,13,24). Both entrepreneurial orientation and innovation strategies are typically required for generating precise ideas, although the intermediary function of innovation strategies lacks significance (31,32). Entrepreneurial orientation may occasionally enhance business performance, but this outcome depends on the presence of an appropriate business strategy (33).

H6: Technologies moderate the impact of entrepreneurial orientation on business performance

Entrepreneurial orientation significantly enhances company performance (5,6,34). When coupled with adequate technological resources, entrepreneurial orientation significantly boosts business performance (Nurmadewi & Mahendrawathi (2019) and Siregar et al. (2017). However, some research findings indicate that information technology does not moderate the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and business performance, (Liao et al. (2020). Additionally, technology has not been shown to moderate the impact of increasingly dynamic customers on company performance (Park & Ryu (2015).

RESEARCH METHOD

The study focused on small and medium-sized apparel businesses in Bali, involving 220 randomly selected participants. Analysis of the data was performed using Structural Equation Modeling-Partial Least Square (SEM-PLS) methodology. Table 1 displays the variables examined in the research.

Tabel 1. List of variables						
Indicator		Sources				
Innovative (x1.1)		(Rofiaty, 2019)				
Risk-taking (x1.2)						
Proactive (x1.3)						
Investment in	Information	(Bianchi, 2017; Wij	oyo et al., 2020):		
Technology (X2.1)						
Ability to operate	Information					
Technology (X2.2)						
Own Technology	Infrastructure					
(X2.3)						
Business process	improvement	(AlQershi, 2021;	Telagawathi en	al.,	2022;	
(Y1.1)	τ	Jlubeyli et al., 2018)	:			
Developing new	value from					
customers (Y1.2)						
	TaIndicatorInnovative (x1.1)Risk-taking (x1.2)Proactive (x1.3)InvestmentInvestmentTechnology (X2.1)AbilityAbilityto operateTechnology (X2.2)OwnOwnTechnology(X2.3)BusinessBusiness(Y1.1)Developingnewcustomers (Y1.2)	Tabel 1. List of vaIndicatorInnovative (x1.1)Risk-taking (x1.2) Proactive (x1.3)InvestmentInvestmentinInformationTechnology (X2.1)AbilityAbilitytoOwnTechnology (X2.2)OwnOwnTechnology (X2.2)OwnTechnology (X2.2)OwnTechnology (X2.1)Businessprocessimprovement(Y1.1)UDevelopingnewvaluefromcustomers (Y1.2)	Tabel 1. List of variablesIndicatorSourcesInnovative (x1.1)(Rofiaty, 2019)Risk-taking (x1.2) Proactive (x1.3)Information (Bianchi, 2017; Wij Technology (X2.1)Ability to operateInformation (Bianchi, 2017; Wij Technology (X2.2)Own Technology (X2.2) Own Technology Infrastructure(X2.3)Improvement (AlQershi, 2021; Ulubeyli et al., 2018) Developing new value from customers (Y1.2)	Tabel 1. List of variablesIndicatorSourcesInnovative (x1.1)(Rofiaty, 2019)Risk-taking (x1.2) Proactive (x1.3)Information (Bianchi, 2017; Wijoyo et al., 2020)InvestmentinInformation (Bianchi, 2017; Wijoyo et al., 2020)Technology (X2.1) Ability to operate InformationAbility to operate InformationTechnology (X2.2) Own Technology Infrastructure(X2.3)Business process improvement (AlQershi, 2021; Telagawathi et (Y1.1) Developing new value from customers (Y1.2)	Tabel 1. List of variablesIndicatorSourcesInnovative (x1.1)(Rofiaty, 2019)Risk-taking (x1.2) Proactive (x1.3)Investment in Information (Bianchi, 2017; Wijoyo et al., 2020): Technology (X2.1) Ability to operate Information Technology (X2.2) Own Technology Infrastructure (X2.3)Business process improvement (AlQershi, 2021; Telagawathi et al., (Y1.1)Ulubeyli et al., 2018): Developing new value from customers (Y1.2)	

IJHESS, Vol. 6, No. 3, July 2024, pp. 726~733

ISSN: 2685-6689		729			
	Developing new methods (Y1.3)				
	Rapid Responsive (Y1.4)				
Business	Business process improvement (Giantari et al., 2022; Yasa et al., 2021):			
Performance	(Y1.1)				
	Developing new value from				
	customers (Y1.2)				
	Developing new methods (Y1.3)				
	Rapid Responsive (Y1.4)				

DISCUSSION

Figure 2 illustrates the outcomes of the data analysis concerning the factors influencing and their impacts on the performance of small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) in the apparel sector, using Partial Least Squares (PLS).



Figure 2. Estimation Results

The assessment of the outer model, also known as the measurement model, evaluates the validity of the model. In Partial Least Squares (PLS), two main criteria are used: composite reliability and discriminant validity. Composite reliability is assessed through internal consistency reliability and convergent validity.

A commonly employed measure for internal consistency reliability is Cronbach's Alpha. A Cronbach's Alpha value and Composite Reliability exceeding 0.7 indicate a strong level of reliability for the construct (35). The findings of the composite reliability assessment are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Crondach's Alpha Test value and Composite Renability						
Construct	Alpha Cronbach's	Composite Reliability				
BP	0.903	0.932				
EO	0.883	0.928				
EO×TR	1.000	1.000				
IS	0.809	0.872				

Table 2. Cronbach's Alpha Test Value and Composite Reliability

Source: Data analysis

Table 2 displays Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability values exceeding 0.7, indicating high reliability for the constructs. Convergent validity assesses how well an indicator correlates positively with other indicators within the same construct. In evaluating convergent validity for reflective constructs, researchers examine factor loadings of indicators and the Average Variance Extracted (AVE), as shown in Table 3.

Variable	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
BP	0.775
EO	0.811
EO×TR	1.000
IS	0.631
TR	0.753

Table 3. Nilai AVE

Source: Data analysis

The Impact of Entrepreneurial Orientation and Innovation Strategy on MSME Performance in Bali's Apparel Sector (Hifzhan Frima Thousani)

In the convergent validity assessment, it's essential for all indicators to have statistically significant factor loadings of 0.70 or higher. Additionally, an Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value of 0.50 or above indicates that more than half of the variance of the indicators can be explained by existing constructs (35). Table 3 indicates that all variables meet these criteria, with AVE values exceeding 0.5 and factor loadings of each indicator surpassing 0.7.

Discriminant validity is tested using the Fornell-Larcker criterion, which compares the square root value of AVE with the correlation between latent variables. Discriminant validity is established if the square root value of AVE is greater than the correlation value between latent variables. The findings of discriminant validity testing using Fornell-Larcker are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Results of Discriminant Validity Testing with Fornell-Larcker						
	BP	EO	EO*TR	IS	TR	
BP	0.880					
EO	0.538	0.900				
EO×TR	0.077	-0.015	1.000			
IS	0.729	0.455	-0.038	0.795		
TR	0.668	0.406	-0.071	0.657	0.867	

Source: Data processed

Table 4 indicates that the correlation between latent variables is lower than the square root of AVE, confirming that discriminant validity has been achieved.

The testing of the structural model, also known as the inner model, examines the relationships between latent variables based on substantive theory. This testing is conducted through R-Square (R2), which demonstrates the strengths and weaknesses of the research model, revealing the extent to which exogenous variables influence endogenous variables. The results of the structural model testing, including R2 values, are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Distribution of R-Square Value	S
---	---

Variable	R Square	R Square Adjusted
BP	0.645	0.639
IS	0.207	0.203
	-	

Source: Data processed

Table 5 presents the R2 values, indicating the proportion of variance explained by exogenous variables for endogenous variables. For instance, the Innovation Strategy variable has an R2 value of 0.207, meaning that 20.7 percent of changes in innovation strategies can be attributed to changes in entrepreneurial orientation, while 79.3 percent of the variance is influenced by variables outside the model. Similarly, the R2 value for the business performance variable is 0.645, indicating that 64.50 percent of changes in MSME performance can be explained by entrepreneurial orientation, innovation strategies, and technological resources, with 35.50 percent of variance influenced by external factors.

Additionally, the Q-Square Predictive Relevance (Q2) value assesses how well the model predicts observations. A Q2 value above 0 signifies predictive relevance, with a value of 0.7185 indicating that 71.85 percent of the relationship between variables can be explained by the model, while the remaining 28.15 percent is attributed to factors not included in the model.

Furthermore, significance of the path coefficient values demonstrates the strength of the influence of exogenous constructs on endogenous constructs. Hypothesis testing, conducted using Partial Least Squares (PLS) with bootstrapping procedures, employs a significance level of 0.05 and a two-sided test, yielding a t-table value of 1.96 (Hair Jr et al., 2017, p. 206). Table 6 presents the results of testing the significance of the direct effects on the model.

Table 9. Coefficient and Significance of Direct Effect								
Path Relationship	(O)	(M)	(STDEV)	T Statistics	P Values	Information		
EO →BP	0.219	0.221	0.057	3.818	0.000	Accepted		
$EO \rightarrow IS$	0.455	0.461	0.053	8.504	0.000	Accepted		
$EO \times TR \rightarrow BP$	0.116	0.113	0.047	2.452	0.015	Accepted		
$IS \rightarrow BP$	0.436	0.435	0.067	6.505	0.000	Accepted		
$TR \rightarrow BP$	0.301	0.301	0.068	4.403	0.000	Accepted		

Table 6. Coefficient and Significance of Direct Effect

Source: Data processed

Table 6 presents the direct effect coefficients and their corresponding levels of significance, indicating that all direct relationships have a significant positive influence. Indirect effects refer to the pathway of

influence from the exogenous latent variable to the endogenous latent variable through a mediating variable. In this research, the mediation relationship focuses on the impact of the exogenous latent variable of entrepreneurial orientation (EO) on MSME business performance via the latent variable of innovation strategy. The specific coefficients for the indirect effects are provided in detail in Table 7.

Table 7. Coefficient and Significance of Indirect Effect							
Relationship Latent Variable	(O)	(M)	(STDEV)	T Statistics	P Values	Info.	
$EO \rightarrow IS \rightarrow BP$	0.198	0.200	0.037	5.300	0.000	Accepted	
Source: Data processed							_

 Table 7. Coefficient and Significance of Indirect Effect

Source: Data processed

Table 7 displays that the indirect effect coefficient of the entrepreneurial orientation variable (EO) on MSME business performance (BP) through the innovation strategy variable (IS) is 0.198, with a significance level of 0.000. These findings suggest that the innovation strategy variable significantly mediates the impact of entrepreneurial orientation on MSME business performance. Moreover, entrepreneurial orientation exhibits a significant positive influence on MSME business performance, indicating its crucial role in enhancing MSME performance. These results are consistent with prior studies examining the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and MSME performance (6,36,37).

Additionally, the analysis reveals a significant positive effect of entrepreneurial orientation on innovation strategy, highlighting the importance of entrepreneurial orientation in fostering the innovation strategies of MSME owners and managers. These findings are supported by previous research examining the impact of entrepreneurial orientation on innovation strategy (12,26). Innovation strategy, defined as a company's approach to utilizing limited resources in a complex and challenging environment, plays a crucial role in MSME development. This study's results are consistent with prior research, which also yielded significant findings (38–40).

The specific influence of entrepreneurial orientation on MSME performance in this study is to instill confidence or self-confidence in MSME owners or managers. Entrepreneurial orientation must be able to build confidence in their competencies so that MSMEs have good business performance. This means that confidence in one's own competence will facilitate MSME business performance and economic growth. Technology resources have a significant positive impact on MSME business performance. This result is in line with several research studies that show technology resources strongly impact improving MSME performance (13,26,38). The better the technological resources owned by MSME owners and managers, the higher the performance of MSMEs (10,14,15,17). Technological resources in business organizations refer to the use of information technology to achieve certain targets (14).

The results of testing the hypothesis of the indirect effect of the entrepreneurial orientation construct on MSME business performance through the innovation strategy are unidirectional and significant. The mediating role of the innovation strategy variable is partial. This means that part of the effect of entrepreneurial orientation on MSME performance is intervened by innovation strategy (37,41). The model was developed by including innovation strategy variables as mediators. The aim is to find out how the direct effect and indirect effect of entrepreneurial orientation on improving MSME business performance. Entrepreneurial orientation directly improves the business performance of MSMEs. This means that MSME owners and managers must improve their innovation, risk-taking, and proactive capabilities.

In addition to its direct impact, entrepreneurial orientation also influences MSME business performance indirectly through innovation strategies. This implies that enhancing the business performance of MSMEs entails boosting entrepreneurial orientation to affect innovation strategies positively. Subsequently, innovation strategies will contribute to improving MSME business performance. The analysis and hypothesis testing results reveal that the construct of technological resources significantly moderates the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and MSME performance. Technological resources act as a quasi-moderator, not only significantly moderating the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and MSME performance but also serving as an exogenous construct that notably influences MSME performance. Therefore, MSME owners and managers need to remain attentive to technological advancements to enhance their business performance. Information technology brings numerous benefits to company operations, with nearly all fields of work relying on its assistance. For instance, computer-based applications can aid clothing design in apparel production activities, while internet technology facilitates monitoring competitors' activities. Consequently, innovation and opportunity identification become more accessible. Given the international reach of internet information, it facilitates broader market insights. Overall, the findings of this study align with Resource-Based View (RBV) theory and Contingency Theory. RBV posits that effective resource management enhances competitive advantage, while Contingency Theory underscores that optimal decision-making depends on internal and external circumstances (21)

CONCLUSION

The study on the development of the business performance concept within the Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) operating in the apparel industry in Bali highlights critical factors that affect the sustainability and success of these businesses. Through an investigation of entrepreneurial orientation, innovation strategy, and technological resources, the research provides valuable insights into improving business performance in this sector. The results indicate that entrepreneurial orientation plays a significant and positive role in shaping the performance of MSMEs in the apparel industry. Moreover, entrepreneurial orientation notably influences innovation strategy, which, in turn, positively impacts MSME business performance. Furthermore, technological resources were found to enhance the impact of entrepreneurial orientation on MSME business performance. Overall, these findings underscore the significance of entrepreneurial orientation, innovation strategy, and technological resources in determining the sustainability and success of MSMEs in the apparel industry in Bali.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hussain MD, Bhuiyan AB, Said J, Halim MSBA. Eradicating poverty through micro, small, and medium enterprises: An empirical exploration. MAYFEB J Bus Manag. 2017;1.
- 2. Rahyuda IK, Rahyuda AG, Rahyuda H, Candradewi MR. The relationship between the concept of competitive advantage and the value of Catur Paramitha on SMEs in Sarbagita. Int J Law Manag. 2018;60(6):1522–38.
- 3. Nandan M, Singh A, Mandayam G. Social value creation and social innovation by human service professionals: Evidence from Missouri, USA. Adm Sci. 2019;9(4).
- 4. Bai W, Liu R, Zhou L. Enhancing the learning advantages of newness: The role of internal social capital in the international performance of young entrepreneurial firms. J Int Manag. 2020;26(2):100733.
- 5. Dong B, Xu H, Luo J, Nicol CD, Liu W. Many roads lead to Rome: How entrepreneurial orientation and trust boost the positive network range and entrepreneurial performance relationship. Ind Mark Manag. 2020;88:173–85.
- 6. Rofiaty R. The relational model of entrepreneurship and knowledge management toward innovation, strategy implementation and improving Islamic boarding school performance. J Model Manag. 2019;14(3):662–85.
- Basco R, Hernández-Perlines F, Rodríguez-García M. The effect of entrepreneurial orientation on firm performance: A multigroup analysis comparing China, Mexico, and Spain. J Bus Res. 2020;113:409– 21.
- Cenamor J, Parida V, Wincent J. How entrepreneurial SMEs compete through digital platforms: The roles of digital platform capability, network capability and ambidexterity. J Bus Res. 2019;100:196– 206.
- 9. Tajeddini K, Martin E, Ali A. Enhancing hospitality business performance: The role of entrepreneurial orientation and networking ties in a dynamic environment. Int J Hosp Manag. 2020;90:102605.
- Arshad AS, Rasli A, Arshad AA, Zain ZM. The impact of entrepreneurial orientation on business performance: A study of technology-based SMEs in Malaysia. Procedia-social Behav Sci. 2014;130:46–53.
- 11. Rachmawati E, Suroso A. Direct and indirect effect of entrepreneurial orientation, family involvement and gender on family business performance. J Fam Bus Manag. 2022;12(2):214–36.
- 12. Zhang JA, O'Kane C, Chen G. Business ties, political ties, and innovation performance in Chinese industrial firms: The role of entrepreneurial orientation and environmental dynamism. J Bus Res. 2020;121:254–67.
- 13. Hilman H, Kaliappen N. Innovation strategies and performance: are they truly linked? World J Entrep Manag Sustain Dev. 2015;11(1):48–63.
- 14. Mendoza G, Llopis J, Gasco J, Gonzalez R. Entrepreneurship as seen by entrepreneurs in a developing country. J Bus Res. 2021;123:547–56.
- 15. Okundaye K, Fan SK, Dwyer RJ. Impact of information and communication technology in Nigerian small-to medium-sized enterprises. J Econ Financ Adm Sci. 2019;24(47):29–46.
- Azam MS. Diffusion of ICT and SME performance. In: E-services adoption: Processes by firms in developing nations. Emerald Group Publishing Limited; 2015. p. 7–290.
- 17. Mendy J, Rahman M. Supporting SMEs' internationalisation through a deeper understanding of human and technology barriers: Applying effective HRM processes from a developing country. J Organ Eff People Perform. 2019;6(4):205–26.
- 18. Bianchi C, Glavas C, Mathews S. SME international performance in Latin America: The role of entrepreneurial and technological capabilities. J Small Bus Enterp Dev. 2017;24(1):176–95.
- 19. Hofer CW. Toward a contingency theory of business strategy. Springer; 1990.
- 20. Taghizadeh SK, Karini A, Nadarajah G, Nikbin D. Knowledge management capability, environmental

dynamism and innovation strategy in Malaysian firms. Manag Decis. 2020;59(6):1386–405.

- 21. Ghozali DM, Utomo AB, ... STRATEGI PENGEMBANGAN USAHA MIKRO, KECIL, DAN MENENGAH BATIK DI MADURA MELALUI HUMAN CAPITAL DAN PERAN QUADRUPLE HELIX. Competence ... [Internet]. 2017; Available from: https://eco-entrepreneur.trunojoyo.ac.id/kompetensi/article/view/3527
- 22. Arnould EJ, Thompson CJ. Introduction: consumer culture theory: ten years gone (and beyond). In: Consumer culture theory. Emerald Group Publishing Limited; 2015. p. 1–21.
- 23. Ciampi F, Demi S, Magrini A, Marzi G, Papa A. Exploring the impact of big data analytics capabilities on business model innovation: The mediating role of entrepreneurial orientation. J Bus Res. 2021;123:1–13.
- 24. Sofyan S. Orientasi kewirausahaan, kinerja inovasi dan kinerja pemasaran usaha mikro, kecil dan menengah pada sentra industri tas desa kadugenep. J Ris Bisnis dan Manaj Tirtayasa. 2017;1(1).
- 25. Hameed WU, Basheer MF, Iqbal J, Anwar A, Ahmad HK. Determinants of Firm's open innovation performance and the role of R & D department: an empirical evidence from Malaysian SME's. J Glob Entrep Res. 2018;8:1–20.
- 26. Hutahayan B. The mediating role of human capital and management accounting information system in the relationship between innovation strategy and internal process performance and the impact on corporate financial performance. Benchmarking An Int J. 2020;27(4):1289–318.
- 27. Fernandez V. Environmental management: Implications for business performance, innovation, and financing. Technol Forecast Soc Change. 2022;182:121797.
- 28. Kumar V, Jabarzadeh Y, Jeihouni P, Garza-Reyes JA. Learning orientation and innovation performance: the mediating role of operations strategy and supply chain integration. Supply Chain Manag An Int J. 2020;25(4):457–74.
- 29. Laudon KC, Laudon JP. Management information system. Pearson Education India; 2015.
- 30. Maroufkhani P, Wan Ismail WK, Ghobakhloo M. Big data analytics adoption model for small and medium enterprises. J Sci Technol Policy Manag. 2020;11(4):483–513.
- 31. Prima Lita R, Fitriana Faisal R, Meuthia M. Enhancing small and medium enterprises performance through innovation in Indonesia: A framework for creative industries supporting tourism. J Hosp Tour Technol. 2020;11(1):155–76.
- 32. Callaway SK, Jagani SB. The impact of banks' entrepreneurial orientation on strategic control systems. Am J Bus. 2015;30(1):49–71.
- 33. Safari A, Saleh AS. Key determinants of SMEs' export performance: a resource-based view and contingency theory approach using potential mediators. J Bus Ind Mark. 2020;35(4):635–54.
- 34. Singh SH, Bhowmick B, Eesley D, Sindhav B. Grassroots innovation and entrepreneurial success: Is entrepreneurial orientation a missing link? Technol Forecast Soc Change. 2021;164:119582.
- 35. Hair Jr J, Hair Jr JF, Hult GTM, Ringle CM, Sarstedt M. A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). Sage publications; 2021.
- Indrawati H. Barriers to technological innovations of SMEs: how to solve them? Int J Innov Sci. 2020;12(5):545–64.
- Telagawathi N, Yasa N, Giantari I, Ekawati N. The role of innovation strategies in mediating covid-19
 perceptions and entrepreneurship orientation on Endek weaving craft business performance. Uncertain
 Supply Chain Manag. 2022;10(3):913–22.
- 38. Wahyuni NM, Sara IM. The effect of entrepreneurial orientation variables on business performance in the SME industry context. J Work Learn. 2020;32(1):35–62.
- 39. Mohd Noor NHA, Othman N, Sa'at NH, Ismail R. the Level of Involvement of Women Entrepreneurs in the Textile Industry in the East Coast of Peninsular Malaysia. Int J Bus Soc. 2022;23(3):1554–69.
- 40. Kumar D, Samalia HV, Verma P. Exploring suitability of cloud computing for small and mediumsized enterprises in India. J Small Bus Enterp Dev. 2017;24(4):814–32.
- 41. Park T, Ryu D. Drivers of technology commercialization and performance in SMEs: The moderating effect of environmental dynamism. Manag Decis. 2015;53(2):338–53.